

## UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

## HW Assignment 1

**Problem 1.1.** A **partition** of a set  $S$  is a family  $\mathcal{P}$  of non-empty subsets of  $S$  with the property that each  $\omega \in S$  belongs to exactly one  $A \in \mathcal{P}$ .

1. Show that the number of different algebras on a finite set  $S$  is equal to the number of different partitions of  $S$ . *Note:* This number for  $S_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is called the  $n^{\text{th}}$  **Bell number**  $B_n$ , and no nice closed-form expression for it is known. See 5. below, though.
2. How many algebras are there on the set  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ?
3. Does there exist an algebra with 754 elements?
4. For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $a_n$  be the number of different algebras on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Show that  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = 2$ ,  $a_3 = 5$ , and that the following recursion holds (where  $a_0 = 1$  by definition),

$$a_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k.$$

5. Show that the exponential generating function for the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $f(x) = e^{e^x - 1}$ , i.e., that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^{e^x - 1} \text{ or, equivalently, } a_n = \left( \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{e^x - 1} \right) \Big|_{x=0}.$$

**Solution:**

1. For a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  of  $S$ , consider the set  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$  which consists of the empty set and all sets of the form  $A_{i_1} \cup A_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_k}$ , where  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq m$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$  is an algebra. Conversely, for an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , let the family  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  of subsets of  $S$  be given by

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \bigcap_{A \ni x} A : x \in S \right\}.$$

In words, the partition element containing  $x \in S$  will be the intersection of all algebra elements which contain  $x$ . It is easy to show that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  is a partition. Moreover, the map  $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  is one-to-one and onto. Therefore, the number of partitions is equal to the number of algebras.

2. The number of algebras is equal to the number of partitions of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , and all partitions of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  are

$$\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}, \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}, \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}, \{\{2\}, \{1, 3\}\}, \text{ and } \{\{1, 2, 3\}\}.$$

Therefore, there are 5.

3. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra on  $S$ , and let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  be the corresponding partition, and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be the number of elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then, by (1) above, each element of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponds to a union of some sub-collection of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Equivalently, if we number the elements of the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  as  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , then each element of the algebra corresponds to a (possibly empty) subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . There are  $2^m$  subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , therefore, the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $2^m$  elements. Since 754 is not a power of 2, there is no algebra with exactly 754 elements.
4. We use mathematical induction. It is clearly true for  $n = 0, 1, 2$  (it can be checked directly). The induction hypothesis is that it holds for all  $m$ -element sets, for  $m \leq n$ . Let  $P(n+1)$  denote the collection of all partitions of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ , and let  $P(n+1; k)$  denote the set of all partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  with the property that 1 is in a partition member with exactly  $k$  elements. Clearly, we have

$$P(n+1) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} P(n+1; k), \tag{1.1}$$

where the sets in the union are disjoint. Therefore,  $a_{n+1} = \#P(n+1) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \#P(n+1; k)$ , where, for a set  $C$ ,  $\#C$  denotes the number of elements of  $C$ . It remains to compute  $\#P(n; k)$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ .

When  $k = 1$ , the elements of  $P(n+1; 1)$  are of the form  $\{\{1\}, A_1, \dots, A_l\}$ , where  $A_1, \dots, A_l$  form a partition of the set  $\{2, 3, \dots, n+1\}$ . By the inductive hypothesis, we know that there are  $a_n = \binom{n}{0} a_n$  such partitions.

For  $k = 2$ , the elements of the set  $P(n+1;2)$  are of the form  $\{1, r, A_1, \dots, A_l\}$ , where  $r \in \{2, \dots, n+1\}$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_l$  is a partition of the set  $\{2, 3, \dots, r-1, r+1, \dots, n+1\}$ ; there are  $a_{n-1}$  of those for a fixed  $r$ . On the other hand we can choose  $r$  from  $\{2, 3, \dots, n+1\}$  in  $\binom{n}{1}$  different ways. Therefore,  $\#P(n+1;2) = \binom{n}{1}a_{n-1}$ .

Similarly, to compute  $\#P(n+1;k)$ , we first choose the remaining  $k-1$  elements of the partition-member which contains 1, which can be done in  $\binom{n}{k-1}$  ways. The rest of the elements can be split into a partition in  $a_{n+1-k}$  ways.

Finally, the above discussion and (1.1) above imply that

$$a_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{k-1} a_{n+1-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_{n-k}.$$

5. Remember the Leibnitz formula

$$(fg)^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} f^{(k)} g^{(n-k)},$$

where  $(\ )^{(n)}$  denotes the  $n$ -th derivative. Since for  $h(x) = e^{e^x-1}$ ,

$$h'(x) = h(x)e^x,$$

we have

$$h^{(n+1)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} h^{(k)}(x)e^x.$$

For  $x = 0$ , we see that the sequence  $\{h^{(n)}(0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the same recursive equation as the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . It is straightforward to check that the initial values  $a_0$  and  $h^{(0)}$  also agree. Therefore,  $a_n = h^{(n)}(0)$ .

**Problem 1.2.** One can obtain the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{S}$  on  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  as the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra corresponding to a particular topology which makes  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  compact. Here is how. Start by defining a mapping  $d : \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$d(\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^2) = 2^{-i(\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^2)}, \text{ where } i(\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^2) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} : s_i^1 \neq s_i^2\}, \quad (1.2)$$

for  $\mathbf{s}^j = (s_1^j, s_2^j, \dots)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

1. Show that  $d$  is a metric on  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .
2. Show that  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is compact under  $d$ . *Hint:* Use the diagonal argument.
3. Show that each cylinder of  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is both open and closed under  $d$ .
4. Show that each open ball is a cylinder.
5. Show that  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is separable, i.e., it admits a countable dense subset.
6. Conclude that  $\mathcal{S}$  coincides with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  under the metric  $d$ .

**Solution:**

1. All the axioms except the triangle inequality are trivial to check. In order to prove the triangle inequality, we pick  $\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^2$  and  $\mathbf{s}^3$  in  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and set  $i = \min(i(\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^2), i(\mathbf{s}^2, \mathbf{s}^3))$ . By the definition of  $i$ , we have  $s_k^1 = s_k^2, s_k^2 = s_k^3$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$ . Therefore,  $i(\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^3) \geq i$ . Consequently

$$d(\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^3) \leq \max(d(\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^2), d(\mathbf{s}^2, \mathbf{s}^3)) \quad (1.3)$$

which implies the triangle inequality because

$$\max(d(\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^2), d(\mathbf{s}^2, \mathbf{s}^3)) \leq d(\mathbf{s}^1, \mathbf{s}^2) + d(\mathbf{s}^2, \mathbf{s}^3).$$

*Note:* A metric which satisfies the stronger version (1.3) of the triangle inequality is called the **ultra-metric**.

2. Start with a sequence  $\{\mathbf{s}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and observe that the sequence of the first coordinates  $\{s_1^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  takes values in the set  $\{-1, 1\}$ , so it must have a *constant* subsequence, which we denote by  $\{s_1^{n_{1,k}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The sequence of second coordinates  $\{s_2^{n_{1,k}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  considered only on the indices of the first subsequence is also a sequence in  $\{-1, 1\}$ , so it admits a (further) subsequence  $\{s_2^{n_{2,k}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which is eventually constant. Continue, extracting subsequences from previous subsequences, and use the diagonal argument, i.e., consider the sequence  $(n_{1,1}, n_{2,2}, n_{3,3}, \dots)$ . Each coordinate of the sequence  $\{s^{n_{i,j}}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which is extracted from  $\{\mathbf{s}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  along these indices, has the property that it is constant after a point. Define  $\mathbf{s} \in \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  so that  $s_n$  is equal to the value that the  $n$ -th coordinate that this subsequence stabilizes at. Then, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the first  $k$  coordinates of  $\mathbf{s}^{n_{i,j}}$  are equal to those of  $\mathbf{s}$  for  $j \geq L$ , i.e., at a distance of at most  $2^{-k}$  from it.

3. Each cylinder  $C$  in  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is specified by  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a subset  $B$  of  $\{-1, 1\}^n$  by

$$C = \left\{ \mathbf{s} \in \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in B \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Since  $B$  is necessarily a finite set, each cylinder is a finite union of product cylinders

$$C = \bigcup_{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B} C_{1, \dots, n; b_1, \dots, b_n},$$

where

$$C_{n_1, \dots, n_k; b_1, \dots, b_k} = \left\{ \mathbf{s} \in \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} : s_{n_1} = b_1, \dots, s_{n_k} = b_k \right\}$$

It is therefore enough to show that each product cylinder of the form  $C_{1, \dots, n; b_1, \dots, b_n}$  is both open and closed. It is open, because, as you can easily check, each such cylinder is an open ball

$$C_{1, \dots, n; b_1, \dots, b_n} = B(\mathbf{s}_0, 2^{-n}), \text{ where } \mathbf{s}_0 = (b_1, \dots, b_n, 1, 1, \dots).$$

On the other hand, it is closed because its complement is open. Indeed, it is a cylinder of the form (1.4), where  $B = \{-1, 1\}^n \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ , which is, itself, a union of  $2^n - 1$  cylinders of the form  $C_{1, \dots, n; b'_1, \dots, b'_n}$ .

4. Pick  $\mathbf{s} \in \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $r > 0$  and set  $B = B(\mathbf{s}, r)$ . Since the distance function takes values in the set  $\{2^{-1}, 2^{-2}, \dots\}$ , we can assume that  $r = 2^{-n}$  for some  $n$ . Finally, given that  $d(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}') < 2^{-n}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{s}'$  and  $\mathbf{s}$  agree on the first  $n$  coordinates, it is clear that

$$B = C_{1, \dots, n; s_1, \dots, s_n}.$$

5. The required dense set  $D$  is given by the collection of all  $\mathbf{s} \in \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  (possibly depending on  $\mathbf{s}$ ) such that  $s_n = 1$  for  $n \geq N$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a given  $\mathbf{s} \in \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , let  $\mathbf{s}^\varepsilon \in D$  be defined by  $s_n^\varepsilon = s_n$  for  $n = 1, \dots, N$ , and  $s_n^\varepsilon = 1$  for  $n > N$ , where  $N > -\log_2(\varepsilon)$ . Clearly  $d(\mathbf{s}^\varepsilon, \mathbf{s}) < \varepsilon$ .

6. We know that the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{S}$  is generated by all cylinders. Since cylinders are open under  $d$  (according to (3)),  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(S)$ .

On the other hand,  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  is generated by open sets and each open set can be written as a union of open balls.

*Claim:* Each open ball  $B(\mathbf{s}, r) = B(\mathbf{s}, 2^{-n})$  is exactly the same as the open ball of the same radius, but centered on any element of  $D$  (from (5) above) which coincides with  $\mathbf{s}$  on the first  $n$  coordinates.

*Proof:* Follows directly from the ultra-metric property (1.3). Indeed, in an ultra-metric space, each open ball is centered around each one of its points.

Therefore, each open set can be written as a union of open balls with centers in  $D$  and radii in  $\{2^{-1}, 2^{-2}, \dots\}$ . There are only countably many such open balls, so each open set can be written as a *countable* union of open balls. Thanks to the axioms of the  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{B}(S) = \sigma(\text{open balls})$ . We are done now, because each open ball is a cylinder, and so  $\mathcal{B}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ .

**Problem 1.3.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  be a measurable space.

1. For  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^0$  show that the sets

$$\{f = g\} = \{x \in S : f(x) = g(x)\} \text{ and } \{f < g\} = \{x \in S : f(x) < g(x)\}$$

are in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

2. Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel-measurable function. Show that the graph

$$G_f = \{(x, y) \in S \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) = y\},$$

of  $f$  is a measurable subset in the product space  $(S \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ .

**Solution:**

1. It suffices to note that  $\{f = g\} = F^{-1}(0)$  and  $\{f < g\} = F^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$ , where  $F$  is the (measurable) function given by

$$F(x) = \arctan(f(x)) - \arctan(g(x)),$$

where  $\arctan : [-\infty, \infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  extends the usual  $\arctan$  from  $(-\infty, \infty)$  to  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$  by continuity.

2. The coordinate maps  $\pi_x$  and  $\pi_y$ , given by  $\pi_x(x, y) = x$  and  $\pi_y(x, y) = y$  are measurable from  $S \times \mathbb{R}$  into  $S$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $f$  is measurable, so is the composition  $f \circ \pi_x : S \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The "pair map"

$$G : S \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, G = (f \circ \pi_x, \pi_y),$$

is, therefore, also measurable (as a map into the product, both of whose components are measurable).

On the other hand, consider the map

$$F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F(y_1, y_2) = y_2 - y_1.$$

It is continuous, and therefore, measurable. Finally, set

$$H = F \circ G, H : \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

As a composition of two measurable maps, it is, itself, measurable. On the other hand

$$G_f = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) - y = 0\} = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R} : H(x, y) = 0\},$$

so it is measurable in  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R}$ .